

## FACULTY – STUDENT EVALUATION RUBRIC

<b>HNRS project paper RUBRIC</b>	Exemplary	Acceptable	Has minor problems	Has significant problems/ is not evident
<b>TITLE (20)</b>				
Title is appropriate and describes study	10	8	4	0
Taxonomic designation handled correctly (if present)				
Is followed by author and contact information	10	8	4	0

<b>ABSTRACT (75)</b>				
Is appropriate	10	8	4	0
Has correct voice	5	4	2	0
Makes clear the reason for study	10	8	4	0
Is not overly detailed	10	8	4	0
Includes location and time of study	10	8	4	0
Includes and implies the study focus/hypothesis	10	8	4	0
Includes results	10	8	4	0
Has no colloquialisms	10	8	4	0

<b>INTRODUCTION (50)</b>				
Provides the reader an accurate amount of background	15	10	5	0
Provided background is not too elementary	15	10	5	0
Is presented in scientific voice	10	8	4	0
Has appropriate citations of supporting research	10	8	4	0

<b>METHODS (50)</b>				
Is detailed enough to allow others follow it	10	8	4	0
Is an accurate representation of how the data was collected	5	3	2	0
Has location of research	5	3	2	0
Has dates and time of research	5	3	2	0
DOES NOT include a list of basic materials	5	3	2	0
Delineates materials that are unique to the study	5	3	2	0
Is written in scientific voice	5	3	2	0
Does not include conclusion or recommended modifications	5	3	2	0
If figures/tables included, titles and captions are appropriate	5	3	2	0

<b>RESULTS (50)</b>				
Does not include interpretations of data	10	8	4	0

Does not include any raw data	10	8	4	0
Data is presented clearly	10	8	4	0
Figures, tables etc titles and captiones are appropriate	10	8	4	0
Does not have colloquialisms	10	8	4	0

<b>DISCUSSION (75)</b>				
Includes cited data	25	20	15	0
Interprets results	25	20	15	0
Indicates level of support or refute of hypothesis	25	20	15	0

<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>				
If included are relevant and appropriate				

<b>LITERATURE CITED (50)</b>				
Has proper citations	10	8	4	0
Does not include electronic resources	10	8	4	0
Is consistent and in APA format	10	8	4	0
Shows attempts to find recent publications	10	8	4	0
Includes appropriate references	10	8	4	0

<b>OVERALL QUALITY (50)</b>				
Formatting is clean and clear	20	15	10	0
Scientific voice is consistent throughout	10	8	4	0
Paper submitted on time	10	5	3	0
Is comparable to other students in cohort	10 (top 5%)	8 (top 15 but not 10%)	4 (top 20% but not top 15%)	0 (among the lowest quality)